

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,105 | 04/16/2004 | Mark D. Soll | MER 04-024 | 9262 |
| Judy JARECKI-BLACK, Ph.D., J.D. 3239 Satellite Blvd. | | | EXAMINER LEVY, NEIL S | |
| Duluth, GA 30096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1615 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/826,105 | SOLL ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | NEIL LEVY | 1615 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal ma | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | · |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or example and the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and the application. | vn from consideration. election requirement. | o by the Examiner |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper N | y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application |

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, drawn to METHODS of eliminating classified in class 424, subclass 406
- II Claims 1-3 drawn to METHODS of CONTROL classified in class 424, subclass 406
- III Claims 1-5, drawn to METHODS of eliminating USING 2 PARASITICIDES classified in class 514, subclass 30
- IV Claims 1-5 drawn to METHODS of CONTROL WITH 2 PARASITICIDES classified in class 514, subclass 30
- V Claim 6,7 drawn to process of preparation, classified in class 548 subclass 356.1
- VI Claims 8-18 drawn to CHEWABLES, classified in class 424, subclass 441
- VII Claims 19-25, drawn to TABLETS, classified in class 424, subclass 451
- VIII Claims 26-29,33, drawn to PREMIX classified in class 424, subclass 442
- IX Claims 30-32,34, drawn to SPRAYS classified in class 424, subclass 405.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1615

Inventions I-IV and VI-IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case THE products can be used in other methods, such as in baits.

The compositions of groups VI-IX are independent and patentably distinct from each other, as different compounds permit of different effects & scope of pest species affected, AS WELL AS DIFFERENT MODES OF DELIVERY, DEPENDING UPON THE HOST SPECIES OF CONCERN.

The methods of groups I-IV are independent and patentably distinct from each other, as complete & absolute prevention or elimination requires different consideration ,methodology & statistical analysis than would control methods.

Inventions I-IV ,VI-IX and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make compounds with other effects, such as antidepressants.

Art Unit: 1615

The Group I-IX have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their Recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any 1 group is not required for any other Group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of N-arylpyrazole .. the ultimate compound . Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution. on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-15,17-24,26-34 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: ultimate species of solvent.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

Art Unit: 1615

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-20,22 -39 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention'. Ultimate species of second parasiticide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 4,5, 17, 23,24,27,28,31,32 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention'. Light or , heat degradation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable Currently, claims 1,4-7 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention'. Ultimate species of animal or bird

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5 are generic.

Art Unit: 1615

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention'. Ultimate species of pest

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a Listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which @re readable upon the elected species. MPEP j 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1615

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec, 8 1 2 . 0 1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5/11-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615
